IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 35484

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 415
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: April 7, 2009
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
SHANELL GOULD aka ABEL,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
Defendant-Appellant.	OPINION AND SHALL NOTBE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Ronald J. Wilper, District Judge.

Order revoking probation and requiring execution of unified five-year sentence with one-year determinate term for possession of methamphetamine, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, PERRY, Judge

and GUTIERREZ, Judge

PER CURIAM

Shanell Gould aka Abel was convicted of possession of methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c). The district court imposed a unified five-year sentence with a one-year determinate term, but after a period of retained jurisdiction, suspended the sentence and placed Gould on probation. Subsequently, Gould admitted to violating several terms of the probation, and the district court consequently revoked probation and ordered execution of the original sentence. Gould appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion in revoking probation.

It is within the trial court's discretion to revoke probation if any of the terms and conditions of the probation have been violated. I.C. §§ 19-2603, 20-222; *State v. Beckett*, 122

Idaho 324, 325, 834 P.2d 326, 327 (Ct. App. 1992); *State v. Adams*, 115 Idaho 1053, 1054, 772 P.2d 260, 261 (Ct. App. 1989); *State v. Hass*, 114 Idaho 554, 558, 758 P.2d 713, 717 (Ct. App. 1988). In determining whether to revoke probation a court must examine whether the probation is (1) achieving the goal of rehabilitation and (2) consistent with the protection of society. *State v. Upton*, 127 Idaho 274, 275, 899 P.2d 984, 985 (Ct. App. 1995); *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; *Hass*, 114 Idaho at 558, 758 P.2d at 717. The court may, after a probation violation has been established, order that the suspended sentence be executed or, in the alternative, the court is authorized under Idaho Criminal Rule 35 to reduce the sentence. *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; *State v. Marks*, 116 Idaho 976, 977, 783 P.2d 315, 316 (Ct. App. 1989). A decision to revoke probation will be disturbed on appeal only upon a showing that the trial court abused its discretion. *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327.

Applying the foregoing standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion either in revoking probation or in ordering execution of Gould's original sentence without modification. Therefore, the order revoking probation and directing execution of Gould's previously suspended sentence is affirmed.